Characterization of CMP Pads Containing Embedded Water Soluble Particles

# Leslie Charns & Ara Philipossian

University of Arizona Department of Chemical & Environmental Engineering Tucson, AZ USA

© 2002



### Project Sub-Task

Task	A-4:	Waste minimization			
-	Subtask A-4-1: Subtask A-4-2:	Modeling of pattern dependency effects (Boning) Fluid dynamics analysis and tribological characterization (Philipossian)			
Task	A-5:	Fundamental pad characterization and modeling (Philipossian & Beaudoin)			
Task	A-6:	Alternative planarization technologies			
_	Subtask A-6-1:	Fixed abrasive pads (Raghavan)			
_	Subtask A-6-2:	Abrasive free slurries (Boning)			
_	Subtask A-6-3:	Coupled plating and planarization processes (Boning)			
-	Subtask A-6-4:	Controlled-atmosphere planarization (Philipossian)			
Task	A-7:	Post-planarization waste minimization (P	hilipossia		



#### Planarization Leam & the EHS Pyramid





### **Overall Motivation**

Slurry is the largest contributor to CMP COO (8000 WSPW, 200-mm factory, 5 Cu layers):

- ~ 6,000,000 liters of slurry per year
- ~ 20,000,000 USD of slurry per year
- ~ 300 metric tons of solid waste per year
- ~ 400,000,000 liters of UPW per year

### Increasing pad life will lead to:

- Reduced pad consumption
- Increased polisher availability
  - (i.e. capital cost avoidance)
- Reduced monitor wafer consumption
  - (and consumables associated with polisher re-qualification)





#### Apparatus

Parameter	Scaling Factor	Speedfam-IPEC 472	Rotopol-35
Down Pressure	1	4 psi	4 psi
Platen Speed	Reynolds Number	Relative pad-wafer velocity of 0.5 m per second (30 rpm)	Relative pad-wafer velocity of 0.5 m per second (55 rpm)
Platen Diameter / Wafer Diameter	D <sub>platen</sub> / D <sub>wafer</sub>	51 cm / 15 cm	31 cm / <mark>9 cm</mark>
Platen Diameter / Wafer Diameter	D <sub>platen</sub> / D <sub>wafer</sub>	51 cm / 20 cm	31 cm / <mark>12 cm</mark>
Slurry Flow Rate	Platen Surface Area	175 cc per minute	65 cc per minute





# Apparatus











### Lubrication Theory and the CMP Process





# **Removal Rate Equation**

### **Preston Equation**

Removal Rate = 
$$(k_m k_c) (P)(V)$$

- The removal rate was plotted against (Pressure \* Velocity)
- The slope obtained is the rate constant (k-value = km \* kc)
  - km = mechanical rate constant
  - kc = chemical rate constant
- Error bars in the Removal Rate charts correspond to +/- 1 sigma



# **Motivation**

- To characterize a novel pad design that incorporates water soluble particles (WSP) embedded in the pad composition as it relates to:
  - Pad decay as a result of conditioning and glazing
  - Tribology
  - Removal Rate
  - Temperature
    - Temperature increase of the bow wave
    - Temperature increase of the pad

- To perform a comparative analysis of:
  - Commercial pad to JSR pads
    - Fixed porosity vs. controlled porosity



JSR Pad with WSP (new) Controlled Porosity Pad



Rodel IC-1000 (new)



### Process of Surface Self Regeneration via WSP

JSR Self-Regenerating Pads with Controlled Porosity

# 



JSR Pad with Std WSP (new)



JSR Pad with Std WSP (used)



### **Pad Descriptions**

Pads (all are k-grooved)

- JSR Standard WSP
- JSR No WSP
- JSR High WSP
- JSR Standard WSP
  - lower matrix hardness
- Rodel IC-1000



Standard WSP



No WSP



Rodel IC-1000



High WSP



Std WSP – Low Matrix 🎵

#### Pad Glazing

- Pad Glazing
  - During polishing, the cavities and pores on the surface of a pad will get filled with slurry
  - Due to the pressure and temperature increase during polishing, the slurry starts to "glaze" the pad, or in other words, precipitate on the pad
  - Pad glazing is a method to test the decay of a pad. It determines when a pad starts to decay and the rate of its decay

# Continuous 5-Hour Glaze with 200 Grit Pre-Conditioning





### X-Ray Analysis - Elemental Images

# Continuous 5-Hour Glaze with 200 Grit Pre-Conditioning



- Area Scan for Compositional Images
  - Scan over glazed region and exposed pad
  - Brightness on the compositional image corresponds to high signal of



#### silicon



#### carbon



#### oxygen



# **Approximate Thickness of Glazed Layer**





# Pad Glazing JSR Standard WSP

- COF is constant for JSR standard grooved and IC-1000 k-groove pads during glazing
- IC-1000 Flat pad demonstrates a decrease in COF while glazing





### Pad Decay In-Situ vs. Ex-Situ Conditioning



0.2

0.1

0

0

10

20

30

40

50

60

70

80

90 100 110 120

- In-situ
  - Conditioned pad and polished wafer in-situ for 90 min
- Ex-situ
  - Polished wafer for 5 minutes with no conditioning
  - Conditioned pad for 5 minutes with no polishing
  - Repeat until total polishing time is 90 minutes and total conditioning time is 90 minutes



#### moology & Removal Rate

### JSR Standard WSP Pad

- Lubrication Region
  - Grooved pads exhibit boundary lubrication for the entire range of Sommerfeld Numbers tested

$$So = \frac{(u) \times (\mu)}{(P_{app}) \times (Ra)}$$

#### **JSR Standard WSP**





- Removal Rate
  - The Standard WSP pad demonstrates a linear relationship with P x V
  - There was a decrease in RR at the highest P x V setting (6 PSI x 0.93 m/s) during in-situ conditioning
  - Ex-situ conditioning resolves the above anomalous behavior



### JSR High WSP Pad

- Lubrication Region
  - Grooved pads exhibit boundary lubrication for the entire range of Sommerfeld Numbers tested





#### **JSR High WSP**

- Removal Rate
  - The Standard WSP pad demonstrates a linear relationship with P x V
  - There was a decrease in RR at the highest P x V setting (6 PSI x 0.93 m/s) during in-situ conditioning
  - Ex-situ conditioning resolves the above anomalous behavior



### Rodel IC-1000

#### Rodel IC-1000

- Lubrication Region
  - Grooved pads exhibit boundary lubrication for the entire range of Sommerfeld Numbers tested
  - Rodel IC-1000 has a lower COF than the JSR Standard WSP



Rodel IC-1000



Removal Rate

- The Rodel IC-1000 pad demonstrates a linear relationship with P x V
- There was no decrease in RR at the highest P x V setting (6 PSI x 0.93 m/s) during in-situ conditioning

Pressure x Velocity (Pa x A/min)



### **COF** Comparison of Grooved Pads

- COF varies as a function of pad conditioning
  - Ex-situ conditioning shows a decrease in COF with the Standard and High WSP pads compared to in-situ conditioning



### **Removal Rate Comparison of Grooved Pads**

- Ex-Situ conditioning shows that rate constant increases with higher WSP content compared to the standard amount
- In-Situ conditioning shows that rate constant deceases with higher WSP content compared to the standard amount
- JSR no WSP pad shows the highest rate constant for in-situ conditioning
- JSR low matrix hardness pad shows the highest rate constant for ex-situ conditioning



#### **Removal Rate using Preston Equation**



#### Correlating ILD RR to Average COF

JSR Pads In-situ Conditioning

JSR Pads Ex-Situ Conditioning

#### -1000 Flat ; IC-1000 XY ; IC-1000 Perforated IC-1000 K ; IC-1400 K ; FX-9 Flat ; FX-9 Perforated



Removal Rate =  $(k_m k_c)(P)(V)$ 



### **Characterizing & Quantifying Lubrication Regimes**



### **Correlating Tribological Indicator to Rate Constant**



### Infrared Temperature Measurement During CMP

- IR camera (on loan from MIT) can measure temperatures of the pad and slurry during the CMP process
  - <u>Bow Wave</u>: Slurry build up at the leading edge of the wafer
  - <u>Bow Wave Temperature</u>: Slurry temperature before it goes under the wafer



Polish Conditions: 6PSI, 0.93m/s

Polish Time = 3 seconds

Polish Time = 60 seconds

- Temperature <u>increases</u> at the bow wave over a 1 minute polish
- Temperature increases at the pad over a 1 minute polish



# Infrared Temperature Measurement During CMP

Polish Conditions: 2PSI, 0.31m/s



Polish Time = 3 seconds

Polish Time = 60 seconds

- Temperature <u>does not increase</u> at the bow wave over a 1 minute polish
- Temperature <u>does not increase</u> at the pad over a 1 minute polish



### Infrared Temperature Analysis



- Temperature analysis is performed over the indicated spots
  - A "real time" temperature measurement is recorded from the moment the wafer touches the pad

2 PSI, 0.31 m/s









### IR Temperature Results Ex-Situ Pad Conditioning



36

34

32

30

28

26

24

0

200



**IC-1000** 

400



600

- Temperature increases with P x V for both the bow wave and the pad
  - As P x V increases, the temperature difference between the pad and the bow wave increases
    - IC-1000 bow wave temperature is 1.2 °C higher than the Std WSP pad
    - IC-1000 pad temperature is 1.5 °C higher than the Std WSP pad



# **Conclusions & Observations**

- Tribology JSR Grooved Pads
- All grooved pads remained in the boundary lubrication region for the entire range of Sommerfeld Numbers tested
- Pad conditioning on pads with WSP
- JSR pads are unique due to presence of WSPs which cause self regeneration of the pad surface
- WSP causes anomalous behavior at high P x V settings in conjunction with in-situ conditioning by causing the RR to decrease at the highest setting (6PSI & 0.93m/s)
- It is not necessary to condition the surface of the pad while polishing
  - In-situ conditioning is too harsh on the surface and may cause particles to dislodge rather than dissolve
- Relationship to previously obtained universal COF & "beta" curves
  - As a first approximation, frictional and tribological characteristics of JSR pads seem to follow trends observed with other pads and slurries
  - Differences are speculated to be due to varying amounts of WSP

Thermal analysis

- The temperature increases at the bow wave with P x V
- The temperature increases on the pad surface with P x V
- Although bow wave and pad temperature differences are small (1-2 °C increase) between each pad type, it may be significant since the activation energies are yet to be determined

#### **Future Plans**

- Water Soluble Particles
  - Determine dissolution rates of the WSP
  - Temperature effects on the WSP
  - pH effects on WSP
- Thermal Analysis
  - Analysis of temperature trends
  - Correlation to dissolution rates of WSP and heats of mixing
- Thermal and Dynamic pad properties
  - Pad softening occurring at standard CMP operating temperatures
  - Pad toughening
  - Cross linking and extent of free volume of pad composition
  - Glass transition temperatures
- Wafer Pressure Analysis
  - Localized high pressure points
  - WSP content effects on pressure
- Collaboration with Arizona State University to model removal rate in terms



# Acknowledgements

- Duane Boning
- Gary Chandler
- Lorenzo Lujan
- NSF/SRC Engineering Research Center for Environmentally Benign Semiconductor Manufacturing
- Tufts University (collaboration & technology exchange)
- JSR Corp
- Fujimi Incorporated (slurry donation)
- Freudenberg Nonwovens (pad donation)
- Rodel (pad donation)

